

## UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

08/961,013 10/30/97 COLMAN

MMC2/0607 FRATLIFFYR

10/20741 MMC2/0607 RATLIFFYR

2361 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY
SUITE 522
ARLINGTON VA 22202

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

1- File Copy

U.S. G.P.O. 1999 460-693

PTO-90C (Rev. 2/95)

		·	<u></u>			
Office Action Summary	Application No. 08/96/013	Applicant(s)	oleman	cf al		
	Examiner R. Paul	f	Group Art Unit			
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet be	eneath the co	prrespondence a	address-		
Period for Response						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE	MONTI	H(S) FROM THE			
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a</li> <li>If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defau</li> <li>Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by</li> </ul>	response within the statuto	ory minimum of the from the mailing	nirty (30) days will be date of this commu	e considered timel unication .		
Status	1					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on $\frac{10\sqrt{30}}{30}$	197					
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	/		· ·			
<ul> <li>Since this application is in condition for allowance except to accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935</li> </ul>			the merits is cl	osed in		
Disposition of Claims				·		
(D-Claim(s) / - 50			_ is/are pending in the application.			
Of the above claim(s)						
□ Claim(s)						
DClaim(s) (-50						
	im(s)					
☐ Claim(s)			are subject to restriction or election requirement.			
Application Papers						
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing						
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		☐ disapprove	d.			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objecte	d to by the Examiner.					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)						
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of th</li> <li>□ received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number</li> </ul>	e priority documents ha	ave been				
☐ received in Application 140. (Series Code/Serial Number				•		
*Certified copies not received:		··	·			
Attachment(s)						
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	(s) 🗆 🗆	nterview Sumi	mary, PTO-413			
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892		Notice of Inform	mal Patent Applic	ation, PTO-152		

□ Other\_

Office Action Summary

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

08/96/,013

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 11-14, 21-24, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipate by Mir.

Mir shows an analyzing fluid supplied to it through a tube comprising: an analyzing instrument within an enclosure, a first connector attached to the tube and having an end face, a second connector mating with the first connector and attached to the enclosure, a pair of optical fibers disposed inside said enclosure a first end of each of the fibers being mounted in the second connector so that when the first connector is properly mated with the second connector there is a clear path between the end face of each of the first ends of the fibers and at least one common point on the end face, a light source optically coupled to the end of the first one of the pair of fibers and a light detector optically coupled to the second end of the second one of the pair of fibers (column 4, lines 40-65)

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10, 20, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mir. Mir teaches all of the claim limitations enumerated above, but does not explicitly teach a pulse emitting light source as is recited in the instant claims. However, the utilization of such light

sources would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made as a matter of design choice, and involved the mere selection of the pulse emitting light source from amongst the group of well known types of light sources in accordance with its suitability for the intended purpose.

Claims 5-9, 15-19, 25-29, and 32-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mir in view of Nava et al.

Mir shows all of the features enumerated in the above rejections but does not explicitly teach the fluorescent materials recited in the instant claims. However, such is taught by Nava et al, and its use in the Mir structure would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art desiring the preventing of unwanted light reflections from being propogated further.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald A. Ratliff whose telephone number is (703) 308-4904. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f from 9:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font, can be reached on (703) 308-4881. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7721.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Rr

Carried a

5/20/00

Frank G. Font
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800